

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/649,916             | HSIAO, RAY-LING     |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Ruth C Rodriguez       | 3677                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ruth C Rodriguez, PTO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Bruce H. Troxel, applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*None*
**Claims discussed:**
*1 and 5*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Prior art of record*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Troxel to discuss an Examiner's amendment needed to correct several indefinite limitations in the claims and to propose claim language that will further differentiate the claimed invention from the patent document by King et al. The different changes are detailed in the attached Examiner's amendment. After review of the changes, Mr. Troxel agreed to the changes. Both parties agreed to make these changes through an Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..